

FILED IN THE  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

MAR 20 2006

JAMES R. LARSEN, CLERK  
DEPUTY  
SPOKANE, WASHINGTON

James A. McDevitt  
United States Attorney  
Eastern District of Washington  
George J.C. Jacobs, III  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Post Office Box 1494  
Spokane, WA 99210-1494  
Telephone: (509) 353-2767

Paul E. Pelletier  
Acting Chief  
Mark F. Mendelsohn  
Deputy Chief  
Fraud Section, Criminal Division  
1400 New York Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 514-1721

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

RICHARD JOHN NOVAK,

Defendant.

CR-05-180-3-LRS

SUPERSEDING  
INFORMATION

Vio: 18 U.S.C. §§ 371, 1341,  
1343, and 15 U.S.C.  
§ 78dd-2(a)  
Conspiracy To Commit  
Mail/Wire Fraud and  
Violate the Foreign  
Corrupt Practices Act  
(Count 1)

Vio: 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-2(a)  
Foreign Corrupt Practices  
Act (Count 2)

The United States Attorney Charges:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

At all material times to this Information,

1. The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act of 1977 (FCPA), as amended, 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-1, *et seq.*, was enacted by Congress for the purpose of, among other things, making it unlawful for United States persons, businesses and residents to

SUPERSEDING INFORMATION - 1

P60320GJ.GJA.wpd



1 use the United States mails, or any means or instrumentality of interstate or  
2 foreign commerce, in furtherance of an offer, promise, authorization, or payment  
3 of money or anything of value to a foreign government official for the purpose of  
4 obtaining or retaining business for, or directing business to, any person.

5       2. Defendant RICHARD JOHN NOVAK is an American citizen. The  
6 Defendant, RICHARD JOHN NOVAK, was employed by Dixie Ellen Randock  
7 and Steven Karl Randock, Sr., who are individuals not named as defendants  
8 herein, and who owned and operated several internet businesses from their  
9 principal places of business in the States of Washington and Idaho and from mail  
10 forwarding boxes located in Washington, D.C., and Wilmington, Delaware. These  
11 internet businesses used the names "Saint Regis University," "Robertstown  
12 University," and "James Monroe University." They were diploma mills in that  
13 these "universities" had no legitimate faculty members; offered no legitimate  
14 academic curriculum or services; required no course or class work; and were not  
15 recognized by the United States Department of Education. For a fee, these internet  
16 businesses manufactured, transmitted, and sold fraudulent academic products,  
17 including, but not limited to, fraudulent high school "diplomas" and college  
18 "degrees," fraudulent academic transcripts, and fraudulent dean's lists over the  
19 internet to thousands of consumers throughout the United States and in foreign  
20 countries. The individual not named herein also owned and operated an entity  
21 called the "National Board of Education, Inc." On or about June 12, 2002, the  
22 "National Board of Education, Inc." was issued a Certificate of Incorporation by  
23 the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, the Republic of Liberia. At a date unknown, but  
24 beginning at least by on or about August 28, 2002, it was represented that "Saint  
25 Regis University" was operated by the "National Board of Education, Inc." Dixie  
26 Ellen Randock and Steven Karl Randock, Sr., who are individuals not named as  
27 defendants herein also owned and operated the "Official Transcript Verification  
28 Center" (OTVC).



1           3.     “Saint Regis University” was incorporated in the Commonwealth of  
2     Dominica on or about October 31, 2001. At a date unknown, but beginning at  
3     least by on or about March 23, 2003, it was represented that “James Monroe  
4     University” had met the criteria set forth by the National Commission of Higher  
5     Education, Republic of Liberia, to operate an institution of higher learning in the  
6     Republic of Liberia and that “James Monroe University” had been granted valid  
7     accreditation for two years. At a date unknown, but beginning at least by on or  
8     about October 16, 2003, it was represented that “Robertstown University” was  
9     operated by the “National Board of Education, Inc.” and that “Robertstown  
10    University” had met the criteria set forth by the National Commission of Higher  
11    Education, Republic of Liberia, to operate an institution of higher learning in the  
12    Republic of Liberia and that Robertstown University had been granted valid  
13    accreditation for five years.

14           4.     Defendant RICHARD JOHN NOVAK’s employer and his employer’s  
15    businesses were “domestic concerns” as that term is defined in the FCPA, 15  
16    U.S.C. §78dd-2(h)(1)(B). Defendant RICHARD JOHN NOVAK was a citizen of  
17    the United States and therefore a “domestic concern,” and an agent of a “domestic  
18    concern,” as those terms are defined in the FCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-2(h)(1)(A) &  
19    (B). The principal place of business of Saint Regis University, James Monroe  
20    University, Robertstown University, and the National Board of Education, Inc.  
21    was in the United States.

22           5.     The various foreign government officials who received the bribes  
23    held various positions at the Liberian Embassy in Washington, D.C., the Liberian  
24    Embassy in Accra, Ghana, and at the Ministry of Education for the Republic of  
25    Liberia in Monrovia, Liberia, and were all “foreign officials” within the meaning  
26    of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78dd-(h)(2)(A). These foreign  
27    government officials were in a position to: issue certificates of accreditation and  
28    recognition; issue notarial certificates; issue letters claiming that Saint Regis



1 University was fully accredited and recognized by the Ministry of Education in the  
2 Republic of Liberia and that the National Board of Education, Inc. was a valid and  
3 authentic higher education accreditation organization; and cause staff at the  
4 Liberian Embassy in Washington, D.C., to answer the telephone calls in a positive  
5 way when inquiries regarding the legitimacy of Saint Regis University, James  
6 Monroe University, and Robertstown University were made; all of which were  
7 critical to the successful running of the Saint Regis University, Robertstown  
8 University and James Monroe University diploma mill operation.

9  
10 COUNT 1

11 (Conspiracy To Commit Wire/Mail Fraud and to Violate the Foreign Corrupt  
12 Practices Act)

13 6. Paragraphs 1 through 5 of the General Allegations section of this  
14 Information are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.

15 THE CONSPIRACY AND ITS OBJECTS

16 7. From a date unknown, but beginning at least by on or about April 1,  
17 2002, and continuing thereafter up to and including August 11, 2005, within the  
18 Eastern District of Washington and elsewhere, Defendant RICHARD JOHN  
19 NOVAK did knowingly combine, conspire, confederate, and agree with Dixie  
20 Ellen Randock and Steven Karl Randock, Sr., who are not charged herein, and  
21 others known and unknown, to commit an offense against the United States, to  
22 wit, violations of: the mail fraud statute, Title 18, United States Code, Section  
23 1341; the wire fraud statute, Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343; and the  
24 Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-2.

25 8. It was a part and object of the conspiracy that Defendant RICHARD  
26 JOHN NOVAK, co-conspirators not named as defendants herein, and others  
27 known and unknown, being United States citizens and "domestic concerns" as that  
28 term is defined in the FCPA, or being agents of "domestic concerns," would and  
did make use of the mails and any means and instrumentality of interstate



1 commerce corruptly in furtherance of an offer, payment, promise to pay, and  
2 authorization of the payment of any money, and offer, gift, promise to give, and  
3 authorization of the giving of anything of value to foreign officials for purposes of  
4 (a) influencing acts and decisions of such foreign officials in their official  
5 capacity, (b) inducing such foreign officials to do and omit to do acts in violation  
6 of the lawful duty of such officials, (c) inducing such foreign officials to use their  
7 influence with a foreign government and instrumentalities thereof to affect and  
8 influence acts and decisions of such government and instrumentalities, and (d)  
9 securing any improper advantage, in order to assist Defendant RICHARD JOHN  
10 NOVAK, co-conspirators not named as defendants herein, and others known and  
11 unknown, in obtaining and retaining business for and with, and directing business  
12 to, any person, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-2(a).

13 9. It was a part and object of the conspiracy that Defendant RICHARD  
14 JOHN NOVAK, co-conspirators not named as defendants herein, and others  
15 known and unknown, in the Eastern District of Washington and elsewhere, did  
16 knowingly and willfully devise and intend to devise a scheme and artifice to  
17 defraud and to obtain money and property by means of material false and  
18 fraudulent pretenses, representations and promises; and for the purpose of  
19 executing the scheme and artifice to defraud Defendant RICHARD JOHN  
20 NOVAK, co-conspirators not named as defendant herein, and others known and  
21 unknown, used, or caused others to use, the United States Postal Service,  
22 commercial interstate carriers, and wire communications in interstate and foreign  
23 commerce. The object of the scheme and artifice to defraud was to obtain money  
24 from consumers worldwide by selling those consumers fraudulent academic  
25 diplomas, degrees, and records that the consumers did not earn through actual  
26 course work and that were issued by business entities that lacked any  
27 accreditation. That scheme and artifice to defraud is set forth more fully below:  
28



MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY

10. It was part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that Defendant RICHARD JOHN NOVAK obtained apostilles and authentications for consumers in order to create the appearance that the false and fraudulent academic products manufactured, printed, and sold in the name of Saint Regis University, James Monroe University and Robertstown University were legitimate academic products.

11. It was part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that Defendant RICHARD JOHN NOVAK, co-conspirators not named as defendants herein, and others known and unknown, used, or caused others to use, the United States Postal Service, commercial interstate carriers, and wire communications in interstate and foreign commerce.

12. It was part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that Defendant RICHARD JOHN NOVAK, co-conspirators not named as defendants herein, and others known and unknown, led consumers, potential consumers, and others throughout the world to believe that Saint Regis University, James Monroe University, and Robertstown University were legitimate academic institutions of higher learning which had been officially accredited by the Ministry of Education in the Republic of Liberia, when in truth and fact, they were not.

13. It was part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that Defendant RICHARD JOHN NOVAK, at the direction of a co-conspirator not named as a defendant herein, made numerous trips to Washington, D.C., to obtain "authentication" documents, "transcript attestations," and "apostilles" from the United States Department of State and foreign embassies for the false academic products which had been sold to consumers in the names of Saint Regis University, James Monroe University, and Robertstown University. It was part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that consumers were charged for this service.

14. It was part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that co-conspirators



1 not named as defendants herein falsely represented Defendant RICHARD JOHN  
2 NOVAK to the public as being: "Professor Dr. Richard Novak, PhD  
3 (International Business) and Doctor of Education (Educational Administration and  
4 Psychology);" the "Registrar and Past Chief Provost" who designed and developed  
5 the psychology curriculum for all Saint Regis University branch campuses and  
6 sponsor universities; the past president of the Educational Administration  
7 Department at "Blackstone University" and past professor for the MBA program  
8 at "Nation State;" and the "Commissioner of Education and Culture, National  
9 Board of Education, Inc." The Defendant never earned an advanced degree at a  
10 legitimate educational institution and never worked in the education field.

11 15. It was part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that during the period  
12 April 1, 2002, through on or about August 11, 2005, co-conspirators not named as  
13 defendants herein, and others known and unknown, sold approximately \$2.3  
14 million in false and fraudulent academic products to thousands of consumers over  
15 the internet.

16 16. It was part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that Defendant  
17 RICHARD JOHN NOVAK, co-conspirators not named as defendants herein, and  
18 others known and unknown, did use and cause to be used bank wire transfers and  
19 Western Union wire transfers to pay bribes to foreign government officials,  
20 namely Republic of Liberia officials stationed at the Liberian Embassy in  
21 Washington, D.C.; the Liberian Embassy in Accra, Ghana; and the Ministry of  
22 Education in Monrovia, Liberia.

23 17. It was part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that Defendant  
24 RICHARD JOHN NOVAK, co-conspirators not named as defendants herein, and  
25 others known and unknown, paid money and other things of value to foreign  
26 government officials in exchange for: receiving certificates of recognition and  
27 accreditation in the name of Saint Regis University, Robertstown University, and  
28 James Monroe University; inducing Republic of Liberia officials to issue letters on



1 official letterhead representing that Saint Regis University was fully accredited  
2 and recognized by the Republic of Liberia; and inducing staff at the Liberian  
3 Embassy in Washington, D.C., to answer telephone calls in a positive manner  
4 when inquiries were made about the legitimacy of Saint Regis University.

5 18. It was part of the scheme and artifice to defraud that Defendant  
6 RICHARD JOHN NOVAK, co-conspirators not named as defendants herein, and  
7 others known and unknown, paid money and other things of value to foreign  
8 government officials in exchange for receiving letters and other documents  
9 representing that Saint Regis University was not a diploma mill and the Official  
10 Transcript Verification Center was not connected to Saint Regis University.

11 OVERT ACTS

12 19. The allegations contained in Count Two are realleged and  
13 incorporated herein by reference. In furtherance of the agreement and to  
14 accomplish the objects of the conspiracy Defendant RICHARD JOHN NOVAK  
15 performed the following overt acts in the Eastern District of Washington and  
16 elsewhere.

17 20. At a date unknown, but at least by in or about 2002, Defendant  
18 RICHARD JOHN NOVAK had a conversation with a co-conspirator not named as  
19 a defendant herein whereby Defendant RICHARD JOHN NOVAK agreed to  
20 travel to Washington, D.C., to obtain "apostilles" and "authentications" for  
21 degrees sold to consumers by Saint Regis University.

22 21. At a date unknown, but at least by in or about June 2002, Defendant  
23 RICHARD JOHN NOVAK, at the direction of a co-conspirator not named as a  
24 defendant herein, traveled to the Liberian Embassy in Washington, D.C., and  
25 spoke with the Consul in order to obtain "accreditation" and "recognition" for  
26 Saint Regis University.

27 22. At a date unknown, but at least by in or about June 2002, Defendant  
28 RICHARD JOHN NOVAK, at the direction of a co-conspirator not named as a



1 defendant herein, met with the Consul at the Liberian Embassy in Washington,  
2 D.C., and agreed to pay that official a bribe of approximately \$2,250 in order to  
3 obtain an "accreditation" and "recognition" for Saint Regis University.

4 23. At a date unknown, but at least by in or about June 2002, Defendant  
5 RICHARD JOHN NOVAK notified a co-conspirator not named as a defendant  
6 herein, who was in the State of Washington, that payments would have to be made  
7 to Liberian government officials in order to obtain "accreditation" and  
8 "recognition" for Saint Regis University.

9 24. On or about July 16, 2002, Defendant RICHARD JOHN NOVAK  
10 sent by facsimile transmission from the State of Arizona to a co-conspirator in the  
11 State of Washington, who is not named as a defendant herein, requests for advance  
12 payment of expenses for his trip to Washington, D.C., including air fare, hotel,  
13 entertaining the Liberian Consul, and purchasing notaries, "apostilles," and  
14 "legalizations" from several foreign embassies.

15 25. On or about August 26, 2002, Defendant RICHARD JOHN NOVAK  
16 sent by facsimile transmission from the State of Arizona to a co-conspirator in the  
17 State of Washington, who is not named as a defendant herein, requests for advance  
18 payment of expenses for his trip to Washington, D.C., including a \$1,750 balance  
19 due to the Liberian Consul, entertainment for the Liberian Consul, and \$450 for  
20 forty-five "generic" sets of "apostilles."

21 26. At a date unknown, but at least by in or about January 2003,  
22 Defendant RICHARD JOHN NOVAK told an official of the Liberian Government  
23 who was stationed at the Liberian Embassy in Washington, D.C., that a co-  
24 conspirator not named as a defendant herein would pay Liberian Embassy  
25 employees approximately \$400 per month if they would represent to consumers  
26 who contacted the Embassy that Saint Regis University was "accredited" and  
27 "recognized" by the Republic of Liberia.

28 27. At various times between 2003 and 2005, Defendant RICHARD



1 JOHN NOVAK traveled to Monrovia, Liberia, in order to meet with Liberian  
2 Government officials and facilitate payment of cash bribes in excess of \$44,000 in  
3 order to obtain certificates of "accreditation" and "recognition" for Saint Regis  
4 University, James Monroe University, and Robertstown University.

5 28. At various times between 2002 and 2004, Defendant RICHARD  
6 JOHN NOVAK and other co-conspirators not named as defendants herein, caused  
7 monies to be transferred by wire from the State of Washington to the personal  
8 bank account (located in Maryland) of the Consul at the Liberian Embassy in  
9 Washington, D.C., to be paid as bribes.

10 29. At various times between 2003 and 2005, Defendant RICHARD  
11 JOHN NOVAK, at the direction of and with assistance from co-conspirators not  
12 named as defendants herein, caused monies to be sent by Western Union wire  
13 from the State of Washington to Washington, D.C., and Liberia in order to pay  
14 bribes to Liberian Government officials.

15 30. On or about September 11, 2003, Defendant RICHARD JOHN  
16 NOVAK, at the e-mail instructions of a co-conspirator not named as a defendant  
17 herein, caused a Government official in Liberia to issue a letter which had been  
18 written by the co-conspirator, to authorities in the State of Oregon claiming that  
19 Saint Regis University was "accredited" and "recognized," was not a diploma mill,  
20 and that the Official Transcript Archive Center (OTAC) was not connected to  
21 Saint Regis University.

22 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 371, 1341, 1343,  
23 and Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-2(a).

24 COUNT 2

25 (Foreign Corrupt Practices Act)

26 The allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 29 of the General  
27 Allegations and Count One are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.

28 At a date unknown, but at least by on or about January 17, 2003, in the



1 Eastern District of Washington and elsewhere, Defendant RICHARD JOHN  
2 NOVAK, a United States citizen, a "domestic concern" and an agent of a  
3 "domestic concern" within the meaning of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, did  
4 make use of the mails and means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce  
5 corruptly in furtherance of an offer, payment, promise to pay, and authorization of  
6 the payment of any money, and offer, gift, promise to give, and authorization of  
7 the giving of anything of value to foreign officials for purposes of (a) influencing  
8 acts and decisions of such foreign officials in their official capacity, (b) inducing  
9 such foreign officials to do and omit to do acts in violation of the lawful duty of  
10 such officials, (c) inducing such foreign officials to use their influence with  
11 foreign governments and instrumentalities thereof to affect and influence acts and  
12 decisions of such governments and instrumentalities, and (d) securing any  
13 improper advantage, in order to assist Defendant RICHARD JOHN NOVAK and  
14 his employer in obtaining and retaining business for and with, and directing  
15 business to, any person; to wit, Defendant RICHARD JOHN NOVAK did make a  
16 cash payment of \$4,000 to the Consul and First Secretary at the Liberian Embassy  
17 in Washington, D.C., in order to assist Saint Regis University and its owners in  
18 fraudulently selling diplomas through their internet businesses.

19 All in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-2(a) & (g) and  
20 Title 18, United States Code, Section 2.

21 DATED this 20<sup>TH</sup> day of March, 2006.

22 James A. McDevitt  
23 United States Attorney

24 George J. C. Jacobs, III  
25 Assistant United States Attorney

26  
27 Paul E. Pelletier  
28 Acting Chief, Fraud Section  
U.S. Department of Justice

Mark F. Mendelsohn  
Deputy Chief, Fraud Section  
U.S. Department of Justice